

Throw Me a Lifeline: Articulating Mobile Social Network Dispersion and the Social
Construction of Risk In Rescue Communication

Keri K. Stephens
Associate Professor Organizational Communication & Technology
The University of Texas at Austin
Dept. of Communication Studies
2504A Whitis Ave. | A1105
Austin, TX USA 78712
keristephens@austin.utexas.edu
ID: 0000-0002-9526-2331

Brett W. Robertson
Graduate Student Researcher
The University of Texas at Austin
Dept. of Communication Studies
2504A Whitis Ave. | A1105
Austin, TX USA 78712
Brett.robertson@utexas.edu

Dhiraj Murthy
Associate Professor of Journalism (and Sociology by courtesy)
Director, Computational Media Lab | School of Journalism
The University of Texas at Austin
Dhiraj.murthy@austin.utexas.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the many volunteer rescuers and rescuees of the Hurricane Harvey flooding for participating in this research. We also appreciate the thoughtful suggestions of our anonymous reviewers.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation [award # **1760453**] RAPID /The Changing Nature of “Calls” for Help with Hurricane Harvey: 9-1-1 and Social Media.

This paper has been accepted for publication in Mobile Media & Communication as of 04/04/19

Throw Me a Lifeline: Articulating Mobile Social Network Dispersion and the Social
Construction of Risk In Rescue Communication

Abstract

This research develops a model of mobile social network dispersion in rescue communication, and illustrates how people use a combination of mobile and social media, along with real-time communication in their decision-making process. Guided by established research on smartphones, social media, and affordances, we used a qualitative approach and conducted field interviews that included Photo-Elicitation Interview (PEI) techniques to include participants' private social media data. Our analysis of these rescue decisions reveals why so few people used the official 9-1-1 system. We show how rescue communication often occurs through a socially constructed assessment of risk that involves persuasion by trusted others in their network, regardless of professional qualifications. Furthermore, trusted others can function as proxies and can draw upon mobile social network affordances, helping to compensate for material limitations. The affordances people drew from can be organized into sets: foundational and amplification. Hierarchical relationships exist among these sets of affordances, and materiality plays a pivotal role in rescue communication. Ultimately, our analysis uncovers the multimodality around people's decisions to ask for help.

Keywords: rescue communication, affordances, mobile devices, social media, disaster, materiality, social construction, risk

Throw Me a Lifeline: Articulating Mobile Social Network Dispersion and the Social Construction of Risk In Rescue Communication

Hurricane Harvey was the first North American disaster where social media “calls” for help appeared to have supplanted the overloaded-9-1-1 call systems (Rhodan, 2017). While emergency response efforts are often reliant on people calling 9-1-1 to report their needs for help, a juxtaposition occurred during Hurricane Harvey, where state and federal agencies, like the U.S. Coast Guard, were overloaded, and thus, they specifically requested that volunteers jump in and help them respond to these calls for help. This form of help-seeking behavior on public social media is not new (Murthy & Longwell, 2013), but in this disaster, social media provided a visible, often image-based way for the public to request and receive help. Because both volunteers and disaster victims used mobile devices—most often via apps on smartphones—to connect and communicate during rescues, we adopt the term mobile social networks (Humphreys, 2012) to explain how smartphones and social media worked together in the disaster rescue process.

This study explores links between people, materiality, and the technological affordances used by rescuees during Hurricane Harvey. While ultimately people need to be physically involved in executing rescues, the communication tools—objects, machines, and artifacts—are items often under-analyzed (Pinch, 2008), and they can play a key material role in the rescue process. Mobile social networks are one relevant set of material artifacts, and knowing how people perceive the usefulness of these objects can link materiality and affordances (Gibson, 1986). This is especially relevant to contexts like disasters. Humphreys, Karnowski, and Von Pape (2018), stress that “situational context shapes both the availability of constituent media as well as the perception of various features of each constituent medium” (p. 2802). Furthermore,

affordances are not always used in isolation, an important factor articulated in Humphreys' et al.'s (2018) integrated model; affordances can be shared and drawn upon collectively (Leonardi, 2013; Stephens, 2018).

During Hurricane Harvey and the flooding that resulted from this disaster, research suggests that mobile social networks played a clear role in the communication practices of this disaster (Smith et al., 2018). Therefore, this study extends the technological affordances literature into a disaster context by probing individuals to articulate why they posted text and images, what they shared, and how this is linked to being rescued during Hurricane Harvey. In this way, our research seeks to understand the nuance of rescuees' motivations and experiences, knowledge that we use to develop a theoretical model articulating how sets of affordances can be activated using mobile social networks during disasters.

Technological Affordances

Gibson (1986) defined affordances as the perception of the usefulness of an object that is derivative of one's environment. He conceptualized an affordance as relational, triggered by "the particular ways in which an actor, or set of actors, perceives and uses [an] object" (p. 145). While this definition is not rooted in technology, sociologists and communication scholars have followed suit, arguing that technology has the potential to shape social action and communication practices. Specifically, affordances exist not as a "latent capability innate to the technology, but as a potentiality" activated and shaped by certain groups, especially during urgent situations and environments (Majchrzak et al., 2013, p. 39). As a theoretical framework, affordances of technology at both the 'high-level,' such as the persistence and visibility of content, can help describe how technology has the potential to alter communicative practices depending upon one's environment, therefore situationally defining the object's utility (Vitak &

Ellison, 2012). Since technology tends to be used instrumentally and habitually (e.g., Bayer, Campbell, & Ling, 2016), by understanding the relational and communicative potential of mobile social networks we can better understand constantly shifting contexts like disasters.

Affordances provide a framework to understand relationships while preserving relational ontology even when the contexts of technology use are dynamic, the attributes and abilities of users are diverse, and the materiality of technologies is unstable. People often confuse affordances and features, so Evans, Pearce, Vitak, and Treem (2017) developed three criteria to determine whether a particular technological usage meets the minimum threshold criteria to qualify as an affordance. They claim that it cannot be a feature of a technology, an outcome of its use, and it must have variability in how it is used. Social network sites (boyd, 2010; Pearce, Barta, & Fesenmaier, 2015), social media (Majchrzak et al., 2013; Treem & Leonardi, 2012), and mobile devices (Schrock, 2015; Stephens, 2018) have all been examined through an affordance-based framework.

Mobile Devices

Mobile devices, which include smartphones, tablets, and wearables can integrate multimedia (typically through a microphone and camera), provide cellular or wireless network connection, and include mobile applications. Smartphones are not a singular medium, and whether we refer to them as combinatorial ICT use (e.g., Stephens, Barrett, & Mahometa, 2013; Stephens, 2018), multiple media use (e.g., Stephens & Malone, 2009), polymedia (e.g., Madianou, 2014), or metamedia (e.g., Jensen, 2016), this becomes an important consideration when discussing materiality and *sets of affordances* associated with mobile devices.

Combinatorial devices like smartphones, are used in myriad ways, and users often treat them as “integrated environments of affordances” (Madianou, 2014, p. 667). Furthermore, scholars are

now arguing that individuals are using mobile devices to access social media so frequently that this practice is now the new norm (Humphreys & Evans, 2017). Thus, the term *mobile social networks* reflect the combinatorial nature of humans accessing social media through mobile devices.

Affordances of Mobile Social Networks. Initial attempts at identifying affordances have separated mobile and social media, and these scholars have also examined them in specific contexts. For example, Schrock (2015) devised a typology of four main mobile communicative affordances that he synthesized from previous literature: Portability, availability, locatability, and multimediality. Treem and Leonardi (2012) identified four affordances often found associated with organizational social media use: visibility, editability, persistence and association. Stephens (2018) suggested that reachability is a core affordance associated with smartphones and that this affordance involves other people with both positive and negative consequences. While this early work is helpful, in our current study, we use this past literature to sensitize ourselves, but not to limit what we might find in a disaster context.

Calls for Rescue: Context of Research

Consistently scholars have stressed that affordances should be understood by also considering the context in which people can and choose to invoke them (e.g., Gibson, 1986; Humphreys et al., 2018). In the U.S., the system operated by the government that is designed to provide emergency help is the 9-1-1 phone/text system. Additionally, people around the globe are using smartphones and social media for real-time information about emergencies (Hoxie, 2016; Jacquez, 2016; Murthy, 2018; Smith et al., 2018; Stephens et al., 2013). Moreover, during Hurricane Harvey, instead of calling 9-1-1, citizens reacted to the storm by posting their requests

for help on social media (Rhodan, 2017), yet we understand almost nothing about the rescues' motivations to issue a social-media "call for help" instead of using the traditional, long-established 9-1-1 system.

The use of social media has been documented and examined in natural disasters (Palen & Hughes, 2018), and in crises (Austin, Fisher Liu, & Lin, 2012). Veil, Buehner, and Palenchar's (2011) review of the literature finds that most social media-related studies in communication focus on warnings, response activities, and the quick dissemination of information during a disaster; studies of social media use in times of crisis are skewed toward examining the responding organization, not the average citizen. Additionally, Houston and colleagues' (2014) comprehensive review of disaster social media literature found similar results in that social media has generally been used to "provide and receive disaster warnings" (p. 2). While many scholars have studied communication technology used in emergencies, crises, and disasters (see Stephens, Barrett, & Mahometa, 2013; Stephens & Malone, 2009; Murthy & Gross, 2017), individuals' motivations to draw upon technological affordances are rarely examined. With the growing knowledge base surrounding research on communication affordances, extending this work into disasters could be especially helpful.

It is not surprising that mobile social networks have emerged as a platform used to request assistance, because the American public uses social media on their mobile devices at increasing rates (Smith & Anderson, 2018). And now citizens assume emergency personnel also communicate through social media, even when they do not. An American Red Cross study found people believe that emergency personnel monitor social media and that their calls for help will be answered if they simply post a message (American Red Cross, 2012). This is somewhat understandable given how it has become the norm to request assistance from companies and

organizations via apps or websites rather than picking up the phone, but it is highly problematic and violates expectations in disasters (Jacquez, 2016).

Research Question

To date, most of the research on crisis, emergency, and disaster communication that has examined the use of social media has focused on *publicly available data* found on platforms like Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, or Reddit (e.g., Murthy, 2018; Murthy & Longwell, 2013; Veil et al., 2011). Our study, however, is designed to uncover the motivations and experiences of people positing on social media when they need help. This form of rescue communication requires us to access *private* communication given that public fora are not as frequently used to elicit help. This approach therefore expands our collective understanding of mobile and social media use during a crisis. Therefore, the following research question is posed:

RQ: How did rescuees use official 9-1-1 systems and their personal mobile social networks to get rescued?

Method

Sample

We negotiated access to a social-work organization in the greater Houston, Texas, USA region, which allowed the researchers permission to interview individuals who received financial assistance immediately following Hurricane Harvey. We screened participants based upon the following criteria: (a) 18 years of age or older, (b) had a mobile device, (c) posted on social media through their mobile device during the time of the hurricane (whether that led to their rescue or not), and (d) received rescue from an official rescue/relief organization (e.g., U.S. Coast Guard, local law enforcement) or volunteer rescue groups (e.g., the Cajun Navy, and self-organized individuals). Our team took field notes as we spent five days in this organization and

14 additional days in the community surrounding this organization. The researchers set up a table at the research site, and conducted interviews in a private room while participants were waiting to meet with a social worker, or directly after their meeting. Only participants who met all four criteria were invited to be interviewed. These interviews took place between two to five months after Hurricane Harvey (November 2017 to March 2018).

We had 17 individuals who met the criteria for participation and they were each assigned a pseudonym (See Table 1). The average age of the participants was 45.47, with an age range of 24 and 59 years of age ($SD = 8.73$). The sample was representative of the surrounding community and contained 3 people who identified as African American, 5 Asian, and 9 Caucasian. 7 were male and 10 were female; 1 person made less than \$25,000/year and 12 made over \$75,000/year prior to the disaster. While this sample size is relatively small, the number of interviews to be completed was not pre-set. In qualitative research, access to vulnerable respondents is remarkably difficult, and thus if rich data is captured smaller samples can be acceptable, especially when the participants reflect upon a particularly stressful incident or event (Moore & Miller, 1999). Moreover, the interviews conducted reflect ‘thick descriptive’ methods (Geertz, 2008) and a highly nuanced understanding of the sample’s disaster experiences, emphasizing detail over volume.

----- Insert Table 1 here -----

Data Collection

The data was collected using semi-structured interviews with questions focused around understanding how people used communication technologies to be rescued. We began with the question, “Tell us your rescue story,” asked about the communication technologies they used during the rescue process, and at the appropriate time, we asked questions to help us better

understand how they drew upon affordances of technology.

In addition, we used Photo Elicitation Interview (PEI) techniques (Clark-Ibáñez, 2004; Harper, 2002), a data-collection strategy that invites respondents to share photos as part of the research process. Integrating smartphones in qualitative interviews has become a trend in mobile communication research (Kaufmann, 2018). For example, while telling their story, we asked respondents to select images from their phones and tell us the detailed stories behind them, a modality of the PEI that also helps as a memory aid. This is especially relevant when studying mobile social networks because images are an important part of disaster experience (Murthy, Gross, & McGarry, 2016), and by referencing their actual images, the respondents visually curated their own disaster experience while sharing that visual data with our research project. The protocol used in this study was unique because of our desire to understand the motivations of rescue communication, so we asked people to share *private* data (with IRB approval), not simply social media data posted to public sites. Furthermore, we gained deeper insights on the context by allowing participants to share their stories and images related to the impact of Hurricane Harvey and specifically their rescue. We collected images by either taking a photo of them using our project phone, or having them screen shot the photos and send them to us for secure storage. These interviews ranged from 20 minutes to 65 minutes, with an average of 39.94 ($SD = 13.75$) minutes.

Data Analysis

The interviews and field notes were transcribed verbatim, and imported into NVivo 9.0. Each collected photo was labeled and stored in a secured folder dedicated to that participant's data. We used a constant comparative method (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) to structure our analysis. Two researchers independently reviewed the data from each participant—transcript, field notes,

and images—and created a set of open codes addressing the research questions. The researchers then met to discuss the emerging core categories and how they might best be organized to both answer our research questions and provide new explanations that could be a unique contribution of the research. We used axial coding to relate the open-codes and after categorizing our codes (Strauss & Corbin, 1990), the researchers together selected transcripts and photos that illustrated each core category.

Results

The research question dug into the perceptions of the rescuees in how they themselves used official 9-1-1 sources and their mobile social networks to get rescued. This question invited us to explore materiality, along with the social: when people called 9-1-1, friends and family, and used social and mobile media. As we analyzed our data we found that material considerations and affordances worked together in many situations, thus we present the findings by first discussing the use of 9-1-1, which was limited, followed by an extensive exploration of the use of mobile social networks.

Use of the Official Rescue Channel 9-1-1

Table 2 reveals that only one person in our study actually tried to call 9-1-1, and he eventually gave up because his call did not go through. Another person heard from his neighbor that people who reached 9-1-1 were told that two-story homes were not a priority, so he assumed they would not care about his situation. Another couple had been monitoring Facebook and saw the repeated posts that 9-1-1 calls were not going through, while one person saw similar posts on Nextdoor. But almost half of the other people never even considered calling 9-1-1; they either (a) felt others needed it more, or (b) took advantage of evacuation opportunities.

----- Insert Table 2 here -----

Others need it more. Geri, a woman who was three months pregnant and had a one-year-old child with her, never called 9-1-1. She explained:

9-1-1 is a great idea for emergencies. And when you have an emergency stage where everybody is in emergency, maybe the people with the most – the direst situations should have those lines open for them.

Even though she was pregnant, one of the officials rescuing her said, ‘Are you sure you’re pregnant? I’ve heard a lot about pregnant people at this time.’ She explained that “when you don’t look like you have special needs and you’re given some special treatment, it can be socially embarrassing.

Evacuation opportunities. Two rescuees, Tracey, and Mick, explained a different reason why they did not call 9-1-1: they did not take it seriously until they had to get out. Mick, a middle-aged woman explained it this way:

It was embarrassing needing help. I mean, we’re relaxing with a glass of wine after I thought I had taken care of everything, right? So this is kind of funny. It’s even funnier now because [I didn’t know what was about to happen]. I thought, I have the doors blocked, the front and the back door. I have my little Solo cups under my good furniture, and I mean I was working fast because it was coming up the sidewalk. And then I was resting, and then like, “Okay. Whatever happens, happens.” And again thinking maybe 2”-3”, not above the Solo cups...I think I called a few neighbors, and I couldn’t get in touch with them. Then we saw the water coming. At that moment, we felt like we shouldn’t stay. And there was a boat. We told them that we wanted to leave. And so they pulled up to the driveway, close to the garage.

Use of Specific Social and Mobile Media

Table 1 provides a concrete overview of the special forms of mobile social networks that each participant used. All rescuees in our study used a smartphone, Facebook, face-to-face (FtF) communication, and made phone calls to trusted others. Three rescuees specifically mentioned using group text messages, one used Snapchat, several used Nextdoor, WeChat, and WhatsApp. They also used more specialized apps like Zello, the walkie-talkie app, Google Maps, and elevation apps. Sometimes, their choices were dictated by what was available. For example, Karen, a woman who moved to the Greater Houston area after being devastated by Hurricane Katrina said, “We didn't have TV. We didn't have internet, so we really didn't know what was going on, but we were able to text our friends, and though they didn't know what was going on, because they're in Louisiana, we were able to communicate, ‘Come and get us.’” All rescuees had at least cellular service, and that is what they used to send and receive messages, often through social media.

Materiality and Smartphones

All participants stressed the importance of being able to physically move from place-to-place and have their mobile phones with them. For some participants, a mobile phone was their only way to communicate with others during the hurricane because either they did not own a landline phone, could not reach it, or it was inoperable. In many affected communities, landline phone services, power, and even wireless services were affected by the weather. Mick, who, after her rescue, was dropped off at a gas station located on higher ground, describes her mobile device like this:

So [our internet] was kind of going in and out. And I was a little scared because really cell phone was the only thing that I could take with me and our way that we could contact people.

Mick explains how a cellular phone is portable, but also how that feature of this technology served as her go-to communication device, not only to calm her fears, but it was simultaneously her gateway to contacting others. This claim is consistent with media reports and statistics demonstrating the number of individuals who lost power in Houston, Texas, during Harvey (approximately, 280,000 homes were without power), and that meant people could only use their mobile devices, with ample battery life, to reach others (DiChristopher, 2017).

Failures of smartphones. In some instances, the portability feature of smartphones proved irrelevant because being able to physically move from place-to-place with an inoperable mobile device did not help hurricane and flooding victims get rescued. Jon, a 24-year old marketing manager whose apartment building experienced the rapid rise of flood waters, said he was grateful to be able to carry his phone with him as the flooding from the hurricane began to rise, but it ultimately proved insufficient.

It was water resistant, and then it wasn't. I was in the water; it was up to my chest. So I'm thinking, "Oh, my iPhone 7, it's water resistant." And it wasn't *that* water resistant. [It was] splash resistant, but not submergible...

Jon's Apple™ iPhone 7 was ultimately destroyed, but not before his Snapchat videos were automatically backed up to the cloud, and, therefore, they were rescued too. Figure 1 illustrates one image of him wading through storm-contaminated water that he shared to his network before his phone was damaged.

----- Insert Figure 1 here -----

Another reason Jon's phone was not usable fully during the evacuation was due to battery life, another challenge for the portability feature of his mobile phone.

I was sharing stuff and moving around like, 'Oh it's just nothing crazy.' And once it

started getting really surreal, that's when I had like shut off everything, because I was like, 'I need to conserve my power at this point,' It's like a fight-or-flight, you know, it's a life or death situation.

For Jon, the portability of his mobile device was essential, but portability, in turn, was also what made it inoperable. His experience did, however, demonstrate how he drew upon affordances of mobile social networks since he could recall and retrieve Snapchat messages that were backed up to his account before his phone was destroyed. Let us examine those next.

Mobile Social Network Affordances

Our respondents' backgrounds and situations varied considerably, and that meant that they perceived the need to evacuate at different times. See Tables 1 and 2 for these variances. However, despite these differences, our analysis revealed how these rescuees drew upon (a) foundational, and (b) amplification sets of affordances during their rescue experiences. The first major theme is what our team called, *foundational affordances*: every respondent identified these as indispensably important to their rescue. Locatability and reachability were the two foundational affordances identified in the data analysis, yet along with their perceived necessity, drawing on these affordances had significant costs, which created a conundrum.

Locatability. Locatability proved to be a common affordance that all participants drew upon when using their mobile devices, but their use of this affordance varied and it depended on battery life. Locatability was utilized as a means to (a) share exact location, (b) find other people's locations, and (c) organize during and after rescue. In this rescuee data, people did not explicitly mention privacy concerns that could be linked to sharing a location; but in several situations, the interviewees commented that they had no choice. For example, rescuee Ann lived with her 80-year-old father in a multi-family

apartment. She was disabled and had diabetes, and lived on under US\$15,000 per year. She described her use of locatability bluntly because she felt like she had limited options:

Well, I did call for a rescue on Facebook. We *had* to put my information on Facebook because we didn't have no working phone. We couldn't call. How in the world were they gonna find us?

Another rescuee, Faith, an avid social media user, drew more broadly on the affordance of locatability to keep tabs on others affected by the hurricane and to help her predict if her home would be flooded again. She explained:

I was totally on Facebook. And people created Harvey rescue pages. I tried to see what was really happening and what we needed to do. You were able to contact people that were in other areas, [even when] you didn't know what was going on in your area. People were finding out things on Facebook.

Beyond physical locations. Rescuees also used their mobile and social media to share important details about their locations that went beyond a physical address. During the floods, often street signs were obscured by water, it was hard to tell where roads were located. If homes had their addresses painted on the curb, they were meaningless in a sea of polluted water. Karen, a middle-aged rescuee from an upper-to-middle-class neighborhood described how the information she shared helped her get rescued.

They have the address. And they had a map. But they didn't know the roads were gone [covered with water], but they were still able to find our house. So yeah, I think that [sharing my location] was important.

Rescues were often multi-phased and involved coordinating with different people who were using vehicles capable of handling the changing water conditions. Mick described this

situation:

We actually arranged [our second rescue] because once we got to the gas station, we had nowhere to go. And I was like, “Okay, now what?” So we arranged it through Facebook: [for] someone to meet us at the gas station and take us somewhere. That was amazing.

This was a common practice in the communities we studied. Boats had to return quickly to rescue others, so they dropped rescuees in safe locations. The affordance of locatability was highly valued and used in myriad ways. Not only did people share their locations, they also monitored the locations of others and coordinated multi-level rescue efforts. But in sharing their locations, there were hints that privacy concerns created a conundrum: They felt they had limited options, and one interviewee, Tammy received many comments on her post that were related to sharing her information publicly. For example, one commenter said, “Can we put out publicly on Facebook your address with a request that a boat come help? I have a friend who might be able to rescue you.” Tammy responded that she had two dogs and asked if they could be rescued as well. The response was, “Absolutely! What’s your address?” Tammy then commented back with her address, and subsequently other people asked if they could share her request.

Reachability. Another foundational affordance, reachability, was directly associated with the material features of mobile devices, and drawing upon it created a conundrum: being reachable was highly beneficial, but it also quickly drained mobile phone batteries. Furthermore, when rescuees were reachable, they could ask others to be their proxy if they lost access to their mobile devices. Being reachable by friends and family was important for many participants in this study. This included Faith, who explained:

We were keeping in contact. Actually we were in a group message. I had a couple of people from Maryland, my mom, and my sister. And then I'm like, "Wow, the water's inside now. I'm going to get flooded again. Dammit." I remember at 3AM getting a text from the group message, and a friend in Maryland [asked], "OK what's going on now? Are you having to be rescued? Get out!" She kept saying, "Get out!"

Jon, the young marketing manager explained the fear he felt when he realized he was not reachable. Without his mobile phone, Jon had to rely on visual cues. He explained:

Once [my] availability was gone and then my phone went dead, that's when I started freaking out myself because I didn't know what was going on in the outside world.

The only thing I think I could see was my front balcony, which—oh my god—luckily faced the street. I was able to see the trucks and stuff coming by and I could also see how far the water was rising.

This comment, along with similar sentiments found in the data, suggests people view their mobile social networks as a literal lifeline. However, drawing upon the affordance of reachability during a disaster comes at a high price: the complete loss of having the device used for connection. When the battery is drained, people lose all ability to draw upon any affordances. They cannot connect, communicate, or call for help. Furthermore, the loss of smartphone power is often rather sudden in this type of a disaster, and our respondents commented that their use was much greater than in their normal life.

Sets of affordances that amplified rescue needs. Two affordances were identified that served to amplify rescuees calls for help: visibility and association. Whereas the affordance of visibility in an organizational context is often associated with impression management (Treem &

Leonardi, 2012), that is not the underlying goal found in disaster rescues. These people need to make their *situation* visible, and they found that by invoking affordances found in mobile social networks they amplified the visibility of their message and captured others' attention. To accomplish this goal, people often used multiple media that included images and videos in addition to text.

As Jon's prior example - found in Figure 1 - illustrates, taking pictures during the storm was extremely important because he then used Snapchat to send geo-tagged pictures and videos to update his friends on the status of the flooding he was experiencing. Jon explains how he drew upon this set of amplification affordances:

The ability to take pictures was so important, and I was able share them describing kind of what was happening in my own place. I just recorded everything. That was a huge thing because it allowed me to share what I was seeing.

This set of affordances went well beyond allowing individuals to document and share their experiences. Sharing vivid images captured their audience's attention in provocative ways. It was as if the shared images *boosted the rescue messages and accomplished two things*: persuasively calling others to act on their behalf, and evoking peer networks that in turn persuaded the potential rescuee to evacuate. The rescuee, Faith, explained compelling desires to help that people felt when they saw pictures on Facebook. She was scrolling through her social media feed, talking through her posts during her interview, when she said:

It all happened in this area in [this city] and [everyone posted] a lot of pictures on Facebook. While you're on Facebook during the storm, you just keep scrolling and looking. You go to different people's pages. I [felt a need to] just keep scrolling.

[Actually,] I was looking for my daughter's posts and I felt relieved when I saw she had posted pictures on her page.

Amplification of others' concerns. Drawing on amplification affordances amped up the messages people were sending by heightening the relational relevance of this affordance. Not only did the images and videos capture a more complete experience, but they also made people feel closer and more compelled to act during rescues: the images were a form of evidence of the devastation. Harij, a middle-aged permanent U.S. resident from India reiterated how important including a picture with a call for help actually was. He did not use Facebook, but his wife, Anika, who joined us for most of the interview, was the first person in their family to start posting pictures on Facebook. Harij explained their decision to evacuate:

So it was Sunday morning. We were watching the rain. Streets were starting to get flooded, but not in the house yet. So my wife took a picture and put it on Facebook that, "Hey, the water is all the way to the front door, maybe another few feet away." And then suddenly our friends starting calling, "Hey, Harji, it's dangerous," and some people said, "Hey, the streets are flooded, don't evacuate." Some others friends said, "No, you should evacuate." But then there was one friend...he kind of pushed us.

Anika explained her reasoning for posting the pictures by saying, "It was like, this is our situation." Harij explained how the photo amplified their call for help:

We were able to evacuate, so I think the credit goes to my wife for putting up the pictures. Then my friend seeing it. Then he's connecting to another friend. So that's an example of how connection [through social media and the phone] worked out. The picture made it successful, to me the picture made it successful.

Association as amplification. The second specific affordance grouped into the set of amplification affordances was association. Rescuers' associations with groups served to amplify their rescue messages: they were also tapping into their social capital reservoir, much like Ellison and Vitak (2015) found in their summary of studies. In all cases in this data, rescuers' Facebook, WeChat, and WhatsApp, posts were shared within and by members of their affiliated groups, and that appeared to increase the urgency of their rescue requests. For example, we observed and took field notes in a Chinese Christian Church in this community and learned they had a special WeChat group they created to coordinate the rescues and housing of their members. By examining the image data our respondents provided us that was shared through their larger community Facebook and Nextdoor groups, we found clear evidence that members of these groups knew one another, often knew the neighborhoods, and this localized knowledge help facilitate rescues. For example, Emily discussed her homeowners' association:

Yeah. I've been in the Community neighborhood over there, and I'm actually talking to Nextdoor as well, because they set up a map system where they could literally track [homeowners]. One of our homeowners association members, a really militant woman sat literally and tracked [using Nextdoor] who was out of their homes and who still needed to be rescued.

There was striking data throughout the images and text posts in these private social networking sites that revealed the power of these connections. Being a member of a homeowners association, religious organization, school group, or club, seemed to heighten awareness of these posts and the likelihood of them being forwarded, shared, or tagged.

In addition, some employers were actively involved in the rescue and recovery process, so this provided insight into how being an organizational member might function in terms of an association affordance. Sam worked in the oil industry, and he was also a member of a tight-knit Chinese Church group that had an active WeChat rescue group during the disaster. Sam lived on the third floor of a condominium complex, and even as the water rose, he kept saying he was high enough to be safe. He explained how his employer:

...pushed me, when the water first came in my home, the VP called me, “Hey, get out. Get out,” and I said, “I’m fine.” But after resisting for three days, I called my supervisor, “Okay, wow, the water is really coming up strong, and I mean the tide is really up. I will go.” Then the senior VP sent me a text message, “Get out of there. Call 9-1-1.” Right after that I saw the evacuation boat show up [from my church], I’m blessed.

The boat dropped him off on high ground near a Randalls Grocery Store. From there, Sam explained, “the HR people took us to the hotel, right away. They were already pre-reserved, they counted the people who had been flooded, and they reserved the rooms. Once you’re rescued they put you there [for two weeks and paid for the rooms].”

Discussion

This research allows us to develop a model of mobile social network dispersion in disaster rescues to illustrate how people use communication technologies in rescue decision making. These findings contribute to mobile communication and social media research in two ways. Our analysis of these rescue decisions reveals two important roles that other people play: they help to socially construct risk as they encourage loved ones to get out of harm’s way, and they served as proxies to help compensate for material limitations. We also show that mobile social network affordances can be organized into sets, and hierarchical relationships exist among

them, as well as between material considerations and affordance sets.

People's decisions to ask for help—through official 9-1-1 channels, text messages, phone calls, face-to-face conversations, and from mobile social networks—are shaped by material concerns, as well as by the messages they hear and see from friends, family, neighbors, and to a lesser extent official organizations. Portability of mobile devices enables rescuees to take their smartphones with them as they evacuate, but those same devices can succumb to a loss of power and failure due to weather. While current practices during emergencies are to contact officials through 9-1-1 systems, catastrophic disasters affecting entire communities appear to disrupt conventional processes. Furthermore, perceptions of who *should* be relying on 9-1-1 resources are much more of a personal decision driven by individuals' past experiences, understandings of what dire circumstances are, and embarrassment by not realizing the seriousness of the situation until a rescue is imperative. In this study, many of those messages were shaped by information garnered through mobile and social media, and it influenced their key decisions such as when to evacuate, who to ask for evacuation help, and availability of official rescue resources.

Elaborating Relationships in our Theoretical Model

In Figure 2 we synthesize our findings and develop a model of mobile social network dispersion in disaster rescues. The model uses symbols deliberately, and the megaphone graphic depicts how calls for help are dispersed using amplification strategies. At the base of the model are the foundational affordances. These are affordances that are essential for a rescue to occur. In our study data, we identified two such affordances, locatability and reachability, but when considering the pivotal, and often persuasive role that others played, a third affordance emerged that we call attunement. Our findings suggest that people draw upon the affordance of locatability in myriad ways such as sharing location, posting addresses, and describing landmarks

when the water obscured physical address labels. This is akin to Frith's (2015) idea of locative media, often discussed as a material feature of a smartphone where users share information about their surroundings.

The salience of this materiality was heightened when rescuees described how they drew upon the affordance of reachability. For tangible and emotional reasons, respondents wanted others to be able to reach them, and quite often their loved ones wanted continual updates. It is the interaction between the material limitations and reachability that helped us define this affordance as foundational.

The final foundational communicative affordance is what we call *attunement*. As rescuees invoked their mobile social networks, they bounced information off others. Until situations were dire, communicative attunement served to help people assess their own risk, and make rescue decisions. In this way, rescue communication is a socially constructed process: being told to evacuate is not enough, it takes trusted others saying "get out."

On the right side of the model, we focus on materiality, and it also shows how materiality interacts with proxy relationships. The portability of smartphones was mentioned by every participant in our study, but not only did that provide them access to their lifeline, it also came with material limitations: battery drains. Many of our rescuees overcame these material limitations and further amplified their calls for help by using other people we labeled proxy relationships. These proxies posted messages on behalf of the people needing rescue, something very important when there was no power and smartphone battery life was drained. All the people in our dataset except one (who evacuated fairly early) mentioned the role that other people played when they posted for them or shared their posts through their own networks. In

this way, proxies, in addition to rescuees, can draw upon mobile social network affordances; they can be shared.

----- Insert Figure 2 here -----

On the left side of the model, the dispersion process is elaborated with the highest level being amplification affordances. There are two of these affordances in our data: visibility and association. Visibility is most often found when people post a call for help on social media, and when they used multiple media like photos and videos, this further amplified the visibility of their messages. Because most smartphones have photo and video-capturing capabilities, taking pictures and videos through mobile devices is now considered a commonplace practice (Lenhart et al., 2010; Murthy, 2018). Research has explained that individuals can use images captured on mobile devices to express emotions and moods (Hjorth, 2007; Koskinen, 2007). Association is the other part of the set of amplification affordances found in our data. When people tap into their existing networks, those associations appear to spur feelings of community and identity (Ellison & Vitak, 2015) and they increase the likelihood of rescue messages being heard.

This model begins to explain how mobile social networks function in rescues. It illustrates conundrums, the role of materiality, and the foundational role trusted others play as people assess their own risks and make rescue decisions. We also illustrate the importance of context in our model as well as naming affordance sets that can be expanded in the future. It is very likely that in a different disaster, foundational affordances might not center on locatability, reachability, and attunement. But having a framework to understand human behavior around mobile social networks is an important theoretical step.

Limitations & Future Directions

This study is not without its limitations. The number of participants was relatively small,

although, as suggested by prior work on vulnerable samples, if the depth of conversation about a sensitive experience is sufficient, a smaller sample can still be highly informative (Moore & Miller, 1999). Considering that all our participants shared their personal rescue stories and showed us images and videos to further elaborate their views, we believe that our findings and analysis reflect shared perspectives. Note that while we did have race/ethnicity and income variability, we had no Latino/a participants, and in this part of the US, they constitute approximately 48% of the population. These should be considered when evaluating the generalizability of our findings, but we developed our model using descriptive terms that invite future research in different contexts. Another limitation concerns the socioeconomic status needed for individuals to afford smartphones and thus be capable of using social media during a disaster (Xiao et al., 2015). Our study did not include many individuals at the extreme poverty line, but the one person in our study with an annual income under US\$15,000 still owned a smartphone, and she was careful in how she used her device. Digital disparities are an important area of study, especially in low-income areas that are often disproportionately affected by disasters.

Our empirical extension and development of a theoretical model substantiates claims made by Humphreys et al. (2018) while illustrating the importance of context in technology-affordance research. Furthermore, these findings are applicable to local and governmental agencies, including the United States Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), city, county and state emergency responders, and volunteer rescuers who want to better understand the mobile and social media practices of people who really need to be rescued during a disaster. It is also possible that different social media platforms have different affordances, and that might explain why none of our respondents mentioned using Twitter to get rescued. We asked open

questions concerning the social media platforms and communication channels each respondent used (see Table 1 for a listing), and Twitter never came up. Since this study is part of a larger study that included some official emergency responders, they did mention Twitter. We are unsure why Twitter was virtually absent from our rescuee's data, but it is worth further exploration.

Future American disaster victims will quite likely continue the trend of turning to social media rather than, or in addition to 9-1-1, even if 9-1-1 expands beyond phone-based reporting services. By understanding Hurricane Harvey rescuees' mobile social networking practices, and the role trusted others played in both helping them realize they were at risk, and then serving as proxies to request help for them, we will be better prepared to save lives.

References

- American Red Cross. (2012). *New study shows more reliance on mobile apps in disasters*. Retrieved from <http://www.redcross.org/news/article/New-Study-Shows-More-Reliance-on-Mobile-Apps-in-Disasters>.
- Austin, L., Fisher Liu, B., & Jin, Y. (2012). How audiences seek out crisis information: Exploring the social-mediated crisis communication model. *Journal of Applied Communication Research, 40*, 188-207. doi:10.1080/00909882.2012.654498
- Bayer, J. B., Campbell, S. W., & Ling, R. (2016). Connection cues: Activating the norms and habits of social connectedness. *Communication Theory, 26*, 128-149. doi:10.1111/comt.12090
- boyd, d. (2010). Social network sites as networked publics: Affordances, dynamics, and implications. In Z. Papacharissi (Ed.), *Networked self: Identity, community, and culture on social network sites* (pp. 39–58). New York: Routledge.
- Clark-Ibáñez, M. (2004). Framing the social world with photo-elicitation interviews. *American Behavioral Scientist, 47*, 1507-1527. doi:10.1177/0002764204266236
- Corbin, J. M., & Strauss, A. (1990). Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and evaluative criteria. *Qualitative Sociology, 13*, 3-21. doi:10.1007/BF00988593
- DiChristopher, T. (2017, August 28). *Texas utilities struggle to restore power as Harvey hampers progress*. Retrieved from <https://www.cnbc.com/2017/08/28/texas-utilities-struggle-to-restore-power-as-harvey-hampers-progress.html>
- Ellison, N. B., & Vitak, J. (2015). Social network site affordances and their relationship to social capital processes. In S. Sundar (Ed.), *The handbook of psychology of communication technology* (pp. 205-227). Boston: Wiley Blackwell.

- Evans, S. K., Pearce, K. E., Vitak, J., & Treem, J. W. (2017). Explicating affordances: A conceptual framework for understanding affordances in communication research. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 22, 35-52. doi: 10.1111/jcc4.12180
- Frith, J. (2015). *Smartphones as locative media*. London, UK: Polity Press.
- Geertz, C. (2008). Thick description: Toward an interpretive theory of culture. In T. Oakes and P. L. Price (Eds.), *The cultural geography reader* (pp. 41-51). London: Routledge.
- Gibson, J. J. (1986). *The ecological approach to visual perception*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory*. Chicago, IL: Aldine de Gruyter.
- Harper, D. (2002). Talking about pictures: A case for photo elicitation. *Visual Studies*, 17, 13-26. doi: 10.1080/14725860220137345
- Hjorth, L. (2007). Snapshots of almost contact: The rise of camera phone practices and a case study in Seoul, Korea. *Continuum*, 21, 227–238. doi: 10.1080/10304310701278140
- Houston, J. B., Hawthorne, J., Perreault, M. F., Park, E. H., Goldstein, M., Halliwell, M. R., McGowen, S. E., Shivani, R. D., McElderry, J. A., & Griffith, S. A. (2014). Social media and disasters: A functional framework for social media use in disaster planning, response, and research. *Disasters*, 39, 1-22. doi:10.1111/disa.12092
- Hoxie, A. (2016, December 3). *Police urge people to call 911 before reporting crimes on social media*. Retrieved from <http://www.kshb.com/news/local-news/police-urge-people-to-call-911-before-reporting-crimes-on-social-media>
- Humphreys, L. (2012). Connecting, coordinating, cataloguing: Communicative practices on mobile social networks. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 56, 494-501. doi: 10.1080/08838151.2012.732144

Humphreys, L., & Evans, S. (2017). Mobile social networks. In A. S. Silva (Ed.), *Dialogues on mobile communication* (pp. 121-138). New York, NY: Routledge.

Humphreys, L., Karnowski, V., & Von Pape, T. (2018). Smartphones as metamedia: A framework for identifying the niches structuring smartphone use. *International Journal of Communication, 12*, 2793-2809. doi: 1932-8036/20180005

Jacquez, M. (2016, August 30). *Law enforcement: Call 911 before posting about crimes on social media*. Retrieved from <http://www.kion546.com/news/monterey-county/law-enforcement-call-911-before-posting-about-crimes-on-social-media/66394156>

Jensen, K. B. (2016). Metamedium. In K. B. Jensen & R. T. Craig (Eds.), *The international encyclopedia of communication theory and philosophy* (pp. 1-4). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. doi: 10.1002/9781118766804.wbiect166.

Kaufmann, K. (2018). The smartphone as a snapshot of its use: Mobile media elicitation in qualitative interviews. *Mobile Media & Communication, 6*, 233-246.
doi:10.1177/2050157917743782

Koskinen, I. K. (2007). *Mobile multimedia in action*. London, UK: Transaction.

Lenhart, A., Ling, R., Campbell, S., & Purcell, K. (2010, April 20). *Teens and mobile phones*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewInternet.org/2010/04/20/teens-and-mobile-phones>^[11]_{SEP}

Leonardi, P. (2013). When does technology use enable network change in organizations? A comparative study of feature use and shared affordances. *MIS Quarterly, 37*, 749-775.
doi: 10.1.1.725.7196&rep=rep1&type=pdf

Majchrzak, A., Faraj, S., Kane, G. C., & Azad, B. (2013). The contradictory influence of social media affordances on online communal knowledge sharing. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 19*, 38-55. doi:/10.1111/jcc4.12030

- Madianou, M. (2014). Smartphones as polymedia. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 19*, 667-680. doi: 10.1111/jcc4.12069.
- Moore, L. W., & Miller, M. (1999). Initiating research with doubly vulnerable populations. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 30*, 1034-1040. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2648.1999.01205.x
- Murthy, D. (2018). *Twitter: Social communication in the Twitter age (2nd edition)*. Cambridge, MA: Polity/John Wiley & Sons.
- Murthy, D., & Gross, A. J. (2017). Social media processes in disasters: Implications of emergent technology use. *Social Science Research, 63*, 356-370. doi: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2016.09.015
- Murthy, D., Gross, A., & McGarry, M. (2016). Visual social media and big data. Interpreting Instagram images posted on Twitter. *Digital Culture & Society, 2*, 113-134. doi:10.14361/dcs-2016-0208
- Murthy, D., & Longwell, S. A. (2013). Twitter and disasters: The uses of Twitter during the 2010 Pakistan floods. *Information, Communication & Society, 16*, 837-855. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.696123>
- Palen, L., & Hughes, A. (2018). Social media in disaster communication. In H. Rodriguez, D. William, & J. Trainor (Eds.), *Handbook of disaster research (2nd ed., pp. 497-518)*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Pinch, T. (2008). Technology and institutions: Living in a material world. *Theory and Society, 37*, 461-483. doi:10.1007/s11186-008-9069-x

- Pearce, K. E., Barta, K., & Fesenmaier, M. A. (2015). The affordances of social networking sites for relational maintenance in a distrustful society: The case of Azerbaijan. *Social Media + Society*, *1*(2), 1-11. doi:10.1177/2056305115616150
- Rhodan, M. (2017, August 30). *Please send help: Hurricane Harvey victims turn to Twitter and Facebook*. *Time*. Retrieved from <http://time.com/4921961/hurricane-harvey-twitter-facebook-social-media/>
- Schrock, A. R. (2015). Communicative affordances of mobile media: Portability, availability, locatability, and multimediality. *International Journal of Communication*, *9*, 1229-1246. Available at: <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/3288>.
- Smith, A., & Anderson, M. (2018, March 1). *Social media use in 2018*. Retrieved from <http://www.pewinternet.org/2018/03/01/social-media-use-in-2018/>
- Smith, W. R., Stephens, K. K., Robertson, B. W., Li, J., & Murthy, D. (2018). Social media in citizen-led disaster response: Rescuer roles, coordination challenges, and untapped potential. In K. Boersma & B. Tomaszewski (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 15th International ISCRAM Conference*: Rochester, NY.
- Stephens, K. K. (2018). *Negotiating control: Organizations & mobile communication*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Stephens, K. K., Barrett, A., & Mahometa, M. L. (2013). Organizational communication in emergencies: Using multiple channels and sources to combat noise and capture attention. *Human Communication Research*, *39*, 230-251. doi: 10.1111/hcre.12002
- Stephens, K. K., & Malone, P. (2009). If the organizations won't give us information...: The use of multiple new media for crisis technical translation and dialogue. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, *21*, 229-239. doi: 10.1080/10627260802557605

- Treem, J. W., & Leonardi, P. (2012). Social media use in organizations: Exploring the affordances of visibility, editability, persistence and association. *Communication Yearbook, 36*, 143–189. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2129853
- Veil, S. R., Buehner, T., & Palenchar, M. J. (2011). A work-in-process literature review: Incorporating social media into risk and crisis communication. *Journal Contingencies and Crisis Management, 19*, 110-122. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-5973.2011.00639.x
- Vitak, J., & Ellison, N. B. (2012). 'There's a network out there you might as well tap': Exploring the benefits of and barriers to exchanging informational and support-based resources on Facebook. *New Media & Society, 15*, 243–259. doi: 10.1177/1461444812451566
- Xiao, Y., Huang, Q., & Wu, K. (2015). Understanding social media data for disaster management. *Natural Hazards, 79*, 1663-1679. doi: 10.1080/13658816.2017.136700

Table 1. Study Participants

Pseudonym	Age	Channel(s) Used for Communicating Rescue	Extenuating Circumstances for Rescue
Ann	55	Facebook	Disabled and care-taker of 80-year-old father
Brooklyn	53	Facebook, Facebook Live, Group Text Message	Evacuated early
Caspa	41	Facebook, Group Text Message, Nextdoor, Zello	Rescued and then rescued others
Emily	39	Facebook, Google Maps, Group Text Message, Nextdoor, Zello	Never imagined needing to evacuate.
Faith & Arnold (couple)	44 & 47	Facebook, Group Text Message, Zello	Previously flooded
Geri	36	Facebook, Instagram, Nextdoor	Pregnant during rescue
Harij & Anika (couple)	46 & 44	Facebook, Nextdoor, WhatsApp	Evacuated with 8-year-old daughter. Permanent residents
Jon	24	Facebook, Instagram, Snapchat	Lived in apartment building
Jake	38	Facebook, WeChat	Experienced Hurricane Katrina
Karen	50	Facebook, Group Text Message	Experienced Hurricane Katrina. Called Office of Emergency Mgmt., told no need to evacuate.
Mick	50	Facebook	Dog owner
Sam	42	Facebook, WeChat	Employer rescued him
Tammy & Stephen (couple)	58 & 59	Facebook, Nextdoor	Friends all over the world shared her posts
Tracey	47	Elevation Application, Facebook, WeChat	Single mother

Note: All rescuees mentioned using FtF communication and phone calls to trusted others, in addition using varied forms of social media.

Table 2. 9-1-1 Information

Pseudonym	Called 9-1-1 in attempt to be rescued	Reason why?
Ann	No	Did not leave until police ordered them.
Brooklyn	No	Evacuated and left early before it felt serious.
Caspa	No	Rescued by his running group friends.
Emily	No	Realized too late and left on a boat.
Faith & Arnold (couple)	No	Was told through Facebook 9-1-1 calls were not going through.
Geri	No	Did not think circumstances were dire, until they were.
Harij & Anika (couple)	No	Friends rescued them. Neighbor called 9-1-1 and was told two-story homes were a low priority for rescue.
Jon	Yes	Call did not go through.
Jake	No	National Guard knocked on their door and they left.
Karen	No	Heard 9-1-1 was down, and in Hurricane Culture, you do not call 9-1-1, you get out.
Mick	No	Did not realize how serious it was.
Sam	No	Resisted evacuating (boss told him to call 9-1-1) until water rose quickly and boats from his church arrived.
Tammy & Stephen (couple)	No	Did not think circumstances were dire, until they were.
Tracey	No	Saw boat rescues before she had a chance to call.



Figure 1: Snapchat retrieved by Jon during his interview. This image was taken by Jon when he was walking in the contaminated water outside his apartment.




Figure 2: Model of Mobile Social Network Dispersion in Rescue Communication